President's Message

Mrs. Erna Furman

Dear Fellow Members, Colleagues and Friends,

Mindful of this being my fourth and last Newsletter Message to you, I am especially eager to share with you the many important things that have happened in our organization. I want to start by thanking you for your enthusiastic and supportive participation -- the spirit that is the essence of the ACP, sustaining us and enabling us to thrive. You have shown this not only in many verbal and written comments, but in submitting numerous papers to provide scientifically excellent programs (as we experienced in Seattle and confidently anticipate for Miami), in offering and being willing to serve administratively in elected and appointed positions (we have some thirty members taking part for the first time and a truly strong ballot for your January vote), and, not least, in contributing financially, as the number of generous donors, including candidates, as well as the amount contributed have more than doubled compared to the previous several years. It’s a very good feeling and we can all share in it and enjoy it.

As you know, and thanks to the excellent work and support of so many of my administrative colleagues, much “housekeeping” was accomplished during our first year and this focus has been ongoing. You have already received the ACP Brochure for professionals, one tangible result of our shared efforts and one that the ACP and perhaps also some individual members have put to good use. By now you may also have already received a second new publication, namely the Handbook section of your Roster which, starting with the 1999 correction edition, will be a part of each new Roster. In addition to the Executive Committee meetings minutes in the Newsletters, the Handbook is a more detailed and readily available way of keeping all members informed about administrative decisions. It contains the amended bylaws and guidelines transacted last year as well as several adopted just recently: Guidelines for ACP Co-Sponsored Local Workshops. These were developed to facilitate a fair cooperation between the ACP and its local co-sponsors, financial and in terms of administrative work. With basics agreed upon in advance and with ample room for individually negotiated variations, we hope to avoid the discrepancies and misunderstandings of the past. Also included will be new guidelines on Information Provided to New Councillors and Officers. Since our elected administrators are expected to participate in the decision-making process from day one, they are now helped by receiving the agenda material and the minutes of the last Executive meeting before they are published and by having a member of the Executive assigned to assist them with background information. Our last new Councillors have found this very helpful. Another Handbook item is a fairer dues policy, represented by a new Dues Invoice. Research for our new Brochure alerted us to some unfortunate idiosyncracies, such as European members paying $75.00 but Australian and South American members paying $150.00. Starting in 2000, membership dues in the USA
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and Canada are $150.00 (reflecting reader access to meetings) and $75.00 in all other countries. All candidates pay $50.00. And all of us now rank as equals, no longer divided into categories A and B as designated on previous dues notices. Remarkably, the addition of the Handbook to the Roster costs a mere $10.00!

The work of our many committees has been a special focus this year. As their ex-officio participant I have learned about what they do and how hard they work. I admire their dedication and thank them warmly. Some of their achievements are readily perceived by us all through publications and direct participation. Among these are Abstracts, Arrangements, Eastern European Assistance, Extension, ACP/IPA Congress Liaison, Newsletter, Nominating, and Program. We just have to remind ourselves of the individual Chairs and Committee members and that they expend hours of unpaid diligent work to produce these results. With other Committees, the work is harder to follow but just as important for the ACP. Among these are the several Liaison activities (now encompassing newly contacted professional groups), the CE Study Group Committee (adding to their work by exploring accreditation for our social work members), and, not least, the Grants Committee who now, thanks to you, have increased funds for child analyses and -- please note! -- can now consider also applications without matching funds. Study their guidelines in this Newsletter and in the Handbook. Last but not least there is our Membership Committee, forever quietly and efficiently at work and helping us grow.

I wish to draw your special attention to the reports of
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three committees that made very significant contributions at this time. The first is the ad hoc Budget/Donations Committee. Thanks to their speedy and most commendable work we now are, for the first time, in compliance with our bylaws: We shall have a budget! And a feasible accounting system to go with it! This will enable us to ascertain and compare readily our expenses and incomes (for such as meetings, workshops, contributions) as well as to increase our investment returns by keeping the budgeted amounts on hand instead of a large operational fund. Mrs. Hall was voted a yearly cost of living adjustment and a small structural salary increase -- a mere token of our deep appreciation of her work. Drs. Farley and Furman kindly agreed to assist us in a new capacity as Co-Chairs of Development, with our Treasurer, Dr. Morales, participating ex-officio.

The second committee report of note is Long-range Planning. Although their recommendations have been accepted only in a preliminary way because we ran out of time at our meeting, implementation has begun and will be monitored as an ongoing task, with Jack Novick continuing at the helm. His committee places “case finding” as a priority, right after the role of our Annual Scientific Meeting and the Newsletter. The importance of this has been recognized and begun to be addressed with the help of our workshops on building a child analytic practice, with reports and discussion in our Outreach meetings and Open Forum, and with working towards more grant funds for the analyses of indigent children.

The urgency of case finding is underlined by the report of the third committee, Virginia Kerr’s Registry of Cases. Having lost no time to engage you with her survey, she now tabulates your responses (p. ). Even though the numbers are too small to allow for definite conclusions, they are illuminating and thought provoking. I hope we shall be able to find, treat, and count even more cases by next year!

Many of you have let me know that you really read and think about my long Messages. I thank you for persevering also with this one to the very end and I look forward to hearing from you and also to talking and working with you at our spring meeting in Miami, Florida.

P.S. Please make a note that our subsequent meeting in 2001 will take place in Los Angeles (a first!) and will be held a week prior to Palm Sunday, on March 30 - April 1, to avoid it coinciding with the first days of Passover.
I am writing this report as the Chair of the above ACP Committee, however, I also have in mind my function as an Advisor on the Eastern European/IPA and the IPA COCAP Committees and therefore the aspect of coordination of my work on behalf of the development of Child Analysis.

This summer has evidenced much elaboration of the work in Eastern Europe on the development of Child Psychotherapy and interest in Child Analysis and Training. The perceived need and wished for training, is in part, influenced by our work over the years as our contacts and relationships have flourished.

The work of Maurice Apprey, in Eastonia, and of Kas and Elizabeth Tuters in Latvia was spoken about by participants in the Summer School in Riga this year. The work of Adriana Lis in Romania was also much appreciated.

One Russian colleague, who became an IPA Individual Member through the training via shuttle analysis and supervision, told Peter Blos, Jr. and me that he now, as the result of the Summer School experience, is interested in getting training in child analysis. Some participants from Lithuania, Latvia, Bulgaria, St. Petersburg, Moscow and Croatia came to both the regular school in Latvia and the new Summer School in Dubrovnik for Children and Adolescents.

The $1,000 grant from the ACP was used to help participants who work with children to come to the school in Riga. This is but a flavor of the expansion and interest in the work.

The annual Summer School (now in its sixth year) is under the auspices of the EPF EE Committee and the co-chairs of the IPA EE Committee. All the teachers are IPA training analysts. This year the school in Yarmula Latvia had 85 participants and 12 teachers. The format was as I have previously described.

The topic “Assessment and Suitability for Analysis,” also included countertransference reactions in the match of analyst and patient. Peter Blos, Jr. presented a paper titled “The Affective Experience of the Child Analyst and the Concept of Countertransference.” I presented a paper titled “Psychoanalytic Treatment of Children: Criteria and Assessment.” I chose to describe the first four months of treatment of a difficult ten-year-old boy.

New this year was the addition of having an Eastern European participant to discuss or comment on each paper. They were thoughtful and original. Many participants have suggested that consideration might be given to adding one or two days to the school to focus on the work with children and adolescents. Clinical material would be used as a study of the development and treatment techniques for different age groups. The latter was partly stimulated by the introduction this year of the Summer School in Dubrovnik, which focused on children and adolescents.

The Dubrovnik School

In the spring of this year, a group in Croatia who over the years have attended the summer schools and some of whom have commenced analytic training and are also working with children, wanted to start an additional Summer School focused on child and adolescent work. There were not always sufficient places available in the existing Summer School. The InterUniversity Center, where many courses are given, was able to provide space. The Soros Foundation gives some money through that Center.

Vlasta Rudan from Zagreb and some of her colleagues who have attended the last summer about their work were part of the group I met with last summer about their work, ideas, and needs regarding working with children. They were encouraged and stimulated by this; so they asked me to help with and participate in creating a Summer School for Eastern European participants. Dr. Paolo Fonda, a training analyst and teacher in the regular school, is on the EPF and IPA Eastern European Committee. He was instrumental in supporting and organizing this enterprise.

In spite of the planning not commencing until April and the war going on in Kosovo, 28 people attended. Fourteen of them were self-paying and 14 were given financial help. They represented Lithuania, St. Petersburg, Romania, Bulgaria, Latvia, Croatia, Belgrade, and Ukraine. Some attended both schools, which were held only two weeks apart. Some traveled 30 hours by train to get there. It is incredible motivation!!

The format was similar to the regular school. I presented “An Analysis of a Preadolescent Girl.” There was a wish to repeat this school again next year. The possibility of this was discussed with participants in Yarmula Riga. A meeting in Riga was held as a Steering Committee with Han Groen Prakken and Paolo Fonda [EPF IPA], Peter Blos, Jr. and myself. We all felt that such a school should not be in place of the Child and Adolescent component of the regular school and that it should also be under the auspices of the EPF and IPA. Several possibilities are being considered.

The possible role of the ACP was raised with Peter and I both making it clear that the ACP would not be able to provide financial support. We would, however, discuss at our Executive meeting the possibility that the ACP could have a function in the planning of the curriculum and in the choice of some teachers. I certainly would be interested in such a possibility.

Since I am on the COCAP Committee for Eastern Europe, I met with Dr. Johan Norman in Stockholm. He is the Chair of that committee. He was very interested in all the work. He also had some ideas which will be explored with Bob Tyson and Han Groen Prakken. There is particular relevance to these ideas since the COCAP proposal for training in child analysis was passed in Santiago, Chile. Some clauses in that proposal would cover models suitable for training in Eastern Europe. Support for such training is also one of the
THE EAST EUROPEAN SUMMER SCHOOL FOR CHILD AND ADOLESCENT PSYCHOANALYSIS IN DUBROVKIN, CROATIA
Vlasta Rudan

The East European Summer School for Child and Adolescent Psychoanalysis was held in Dubrovnik, Croatia, August 8-15, 1999. Dubrovnik is an ancient town and harbor with about 50,000 inhabitants situated on the east Adriatic coast, in the southeastern part of Croatia. It has a long tradition of tourism and as such, is well known not only in Croatia, but in many other countries as well. Its central medieval part has been preserved in a very good condition within old city walls with many fortresses. Some of them have been used as natural stages for various theatrical performances during the famous Dubrovnik Summer Festival.

The historical part of the city of Dubrovnik has been included into the UNESCO's register of world heritage sites. The Summer School was organized within the program of the Inter - University Center (IUC) Dubrovnik, an academic institution of international universities and scientific organizations which was established in 1971. It has developed a rich and professional program since then. In addition to IUC, the organization of the Summer School was supported by the Croatian Society for Infant, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, the Open Society Institute in Croatia and the Italian Psychoanalytic Association. A total of 27 participants from eight Central and East European countries (Bulgaria, Croatia, Latvia, Lithuania, Rumania, Russia, Ukraine, Yugoslavia) attended the School. The teachers, training and supervising psychoanalysts from West European countries and USA: Mrs. Lilo Plaschkes (USA), Dr. Han Groen-Prakken (Holland), Dr. Paolo Fonda and Dr. Mauro Morra (Italy), Dr. Francois Ladame (Switzerland), presented during the morning sessions papers on psychoanalytic theory and practice. After this more theoretical part of the daily program, group and individual supervision of the clinical material were held. In the evening, after common dinner, all participants could enjoy their stay in Dubrovnik in the way they found the most acceptable to them (going to the concert, for a walk, sitting in one of many café shops and talking with other participants, etc.). Many good acquaintances and even friendships were made during these days, and the whole atmosphere of the School seemed very friendly.

At the evaluation meeting which was held on the last day of the School, the participants expressed their opinion about the School which showed that it mostly satisfied their professional and scientific expectations. The suggestions were made that this Summer School for Child and Adolescent Psychoanalysis put the main accent on the techniques in child and adolescent psychoanalysis and the topic of “Transference and Child Development” was proposed for the next School (in 2000).

As a member of the local organizing committee, I am using this opportunity to thank once again all the teachers for so unselfishly sharing their knowledge and skills with the participants and to all the participants who mostly made great efforts to come to the School from their countries quite far away from Dubrovnik.

We will do our best to find the way to improve the quality of traveling to Dubrovnik for our next School as we realize that it could be the main problem.

SOME REMARKS ON CHILD AND ADOLESCENT PSYCHOANALYTIC PSYCHOTHERAPY IN CROATIA
Vlasta Rudan

Psychiatry and psychotherapy in Croatia have been influenced by the psychoanalytic theory and practice through the teaching of several psychiatrists of which the most eminent are: S. Bethheim, D. Blazevic, M. Beck–Dvorzak, E. Klain, E. Cividini–Stranic and S. Nikolic. S. Bethheim, D. Blazevic and M. Beck–Dvorzak unfortunately died, but psychoanalytic psychotherapy and psychoanalysis continue to be developed by E. Klain, E. Cividini–Stranic and S. Nikolic who have been recognized, together with a younger colleague, D. Josic, by IPA as their members this year 1999.

Child and adolescent psychiatry and psychotherapy in Croatia is connected to the name of the late Prof. Maja Beck–Dvorzak. Among her scientific and professional articles there is one which probably in the best way illustrates her understanding of child development, the understanding which she has transferred through her teaching to a great number of future child and adolescent psychiatrists and psychotherapists in our country. Dr Beck–Dvorzak (1975) said in it: “Only after the insight provided by the physician and psychiatrist S. Freud, the inventor of psychoanalysis, was greater interest aroused among medical professionals concerning the more discrete psychiatric symptoms in children. Psychoanalysis demonstrated that development is not merely simple biological maturation but a process that represents the interaction of the fundamental biological traits of a child and his relation to the immediate surroundings.”

It is interesting but not so surprising, when we take into account the history of the development of psychotherapy in our country, that the psychoanalytic psychotherapy is still more connected with psychiatry and psychiatrists than with the professionals from psychological or other humanistic disciplines who prefer much more other psychotherapeutic approaches and techniques (behavioral, cognitive, gestalt etc.). But the times changed for better. With the recognition of above-mentioned colleagues by IPA as psychoanalysts, the new impetus has been given. This is mitigating the lack of official professional status as one of relatively serious obstacles for further development. Prof. Nikolic, the immediate successor of Prof. Beck–Dvorzak, has been especially influential on further improvement of the psychoanalytical way of thinking and understanding in child and adolescent psychiatry and on further development of child and adolescent psychoanalytic psychotherapy in Croatia. This is obvious from his papers and books too.

Psychotherapy is still practiced mostly in public mental health institutions and is mostly covered by health insurance. But in spite of these in some way favorable circumstances more and more adult patients ask for treatment in private practice or parents ask for treatment of their children in private practices. Private practice, however, is still relatively undeveloped especially for the treatment of children and adolescents. Much more still needs to be done for the improvement in that field and in the field of mental health protection of children and adolescents in general.
I am pleased to report that the two Panels co-sponsored by the ACP and the IPA at the Congress in Santiago went very well. I would like to thank each of the participants for their contributions. Membership attendance at the Congress was usually small but we attracted a large audience for each Panel. The simultaneous translation helped discussion greatly. I would like to thank Bob Tyson, Secretary of the IPA, for his significant efforts in making this important contribution possible. It makes child/adolescent psychoanalytic work accessible to so many more people.

The Child Panel was scheduled for Monday afternoon, the first full day of the Congress. A get-acquainted luncheon on Sunday for the Panel members and their spouses was arranged by Dr. Liliana Pualuan-Gomberoff of Santiago. We all met briefly but then several of the members had to leave for committee meetings. The lovely lunch hosted by Dr. Pualuan-Gomberoff aided by her son, Leon, was very much appreciated by those who could attend. Unfortunately Denia Barrett had to cancel her trip to Santiago at the last moment and her paper was read by Joan Blos. About 200 attended the Panel and, judging by the many comments and questions, people were very interested in hearing about analytic treatment of the under five. The different theoretical frameworks for the work did not prove insurmountable in discussion.

The Adolescent Panel was scheduled on Thursday afternoon. Elizabeth Lima da Rocha Barros of São Paulo coordinated a get-together luncheon at the convention center prior to the beginning of the Panel. All the members of the Panel were able to attend. The Panel took place in a large room which was actually overflowing with about 400+ in attendance! Discussion from the floor was active and the theoretical differences of the participants provided valuable discussion. We had to bring the discussion to a close because our time ran out.

As you know, Christel Airas of Helsinki will be the coordinator and ACP liaison to the IPA Program Committee for the next Congress which will be held in Nice in 2001. The theme of this Congress with be Psychoanalysis: Method and Applications. Fortuitously Christel and I will be able to arrange a meeting in Helsinki on Friday, August 27th, and thus, a direct passing on of my experiences and a discussion of processes will be carried out. I shall continue to be available to assist Christel in whatever way seems appropriate.

In closing, I would like to thank the ACP presidents whom I have served and the Executive Committee for their continuing and unfailing support during my tenure as liaison to the IPA Program Committee. I would also like to thank the IPA Program Committee Chairs who have facilitated the development of these Panels over the last four Congresses.

Addendum
I recently spoke with Bob Tyson, Secretary of the IPA, about some concerns I felt during the last Congress about the continuity of ACP-IPA co-sponsored Panels. He had communicated with Dr. Jorge Canestri, the new Chair of the IPA Program Committee, who assured him that he foresaw no difficulties during his tenure. I am pleased to report this communication and have passed it on to Christel Airas.

ACP RECEPTION AT THE 41st IPA CONGRESS
Thursday, July 29, 1999
Santiago, Chile
Peter Blos, Jr., M.D., Arranger

An evening reception for all child analysts attending the 41st IPA Congress was held at the Palladium Club in Santiago. The location proved to be an interesting, slightly funky, club with a pleasant atmosphere which we had to ourselves because of the time of the reception. The food, wine and service was excellent. The attendance was high and a good time, I am told, was had by all.

The reception indeed does serve its purpose to bring child analysts from different parts of the world together. The new ACP brochure was made available at the reception and several people made inquiries about membership.

There was a slight overrun of US$ 40.00 – which was a tip for the waiters and piano player. Total cost was US$ 2,040.00.

For the IPA Congress in Nice in 2001, I will undertake the arrangements process again as an aid to Christel Airas who will be the liaison to the IPA Program Committee. However, this will be my last time at this task.
The 30th Annual Margaret S. Mahler Symposium

THICKER THAN BLOOD: BONDS OF FANTASY AND REALITY IN ADOPTION

Reporter: William M. Singletary, M.D.

On Saturday, May 1, 1999, Selma Kramer, M.D. presented the psychoanalytic community with the 30th Mahler Symposium, again co-sponsored by the Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior of Jefferson Medical College and the Philadelphia Psychoanalytic Institute and Society, with the enthusiastic encouragement of the Margaret S. Mahler Psychiatric Research Foundation. As usual, the audience included prominent members of the psychoanalytic community from Philadelphia and New York, as well as prominent child psychiatrists and other workers in the mental health field. Conference attendees included: Michael Vergare, Chairman of the Department of Psychiatry at Jefferson, Anni Bergman, Harold Blum, Bernard Pacella, Helen and Donald Meyers, Gertrude Blanck, Robert Prall, Lucy C. Daniels, Charles Socrarias, Houston McIntosh, Wendy Olesker, Lilo Plaschkes, Graciela Abelin, Gilbert Rose, and Patsy Turrini. Before the formal presentations, Leo Madow, M.D. surprised Dr. Kramer with a few remarks honoring her and recognizing her role as the founder of the Mahler Symposium and as its driving force for the past thirty years.

In her presentation, “Bonding Across Differences,” Carlotta Miles, M.D. explored the developmental challenges which face both parents and their adopted children in transracial adoptions. These parents need to embrace and teach the child about the child’s own culture and heritage and help the child identify with the child’s own race. In addition, Dr. Miles stated that the success of transracial adoptions depends on the willingness of adoptive parents to confront their own racism, to educate themselves about White racism, and to prepare the child to understand and to survive, with as little ego damage and bitterness as possible, the damaging societal ills related to White racism.

Black or South American child, reflects White racism and can be damaging to the child’s self-esteem. In order to be successful, the child of color must have a strong sense of self, not a sense of a “bad self” composed of the projections of a dominant society seeking to gain its strength by projecting its perceived weaknesses onto those who simply look different. Dr. Miles closed with her conviction that it’s always better for a child to be raised by understanding people who are willing to translate their love into the necessary actions than to be raised in the care of institutions, no matter how well run.

Kay Reed, M.D., in her discussion of Dr. Miles’ presentation, expressed appreciation for Dr. Miles’ work and added that for therapists and for parents, the most important response to the transracial adoptee is to be able to listen and be open to the unique experience of each child, including the special issues of the inter-racial adoptee.

In “Attachment Theory in the Light of Adoption Research,” Marshall Schechter, M.D. asserted that bonding and attachment began intrauterinely and are influenced by what a mother/child simultaneously experience before birth. In reaching this conclusion, Dr. Schechter reviewed his earlier clinical work and research, involving children and adults who had been adopted, which led him to consider the importance of the experience of the fetus and the neonate for the formation of the anlage, or beginning, of attachments. The early, constant exchange and interplay between child and caregiver, this type of symbiosis and synchronicity, forms the background for which Bowlby and Ainsworth consider to represent attachment. Early problems related to bonding and attachment are thought to create, for some adoptees, a nidus for symptom formation derived from internal conflicts.

Dr. Schechter concluded by asserting that bonding and attachment begin shortly after conception and are reinforced by various types of exchanges between mother and fetus. Upon placement for adoption, the infant is placed in a “strange” environment where numerous
variables including maternal responses to stress and/or pleasure do not match the infant’s intrauterine experiences. Thus, attachment disorders in adopted individuals may stem from the disruption of the infant’s intrauterine attachments. Dr. Schechter feels that these factors need to be recognized by analysts who work with adopted children and their parents. From the standpoint of minimizing the disruption of the intrauterine attachment, and thus, facilitating the attachment to the adoptive parents, Dr. Schechter recommended that a detailed history be obtained from the birth mother who can tell the placing agency the stresses, the happy periods, and the problems and solutions encountered during the pregnancy itself. These need to be known so that the adoptive parents can provide a more acceptable environment for the infant by replicating not only food, but experiences such as choice of music and methods of calming the infant. Thus, with the adoptive parents, the infant will be able to have positive experiences which are similar to those encountered prior to birth.

In his discussion of Dr. Schechter’s paper, Ira Brenner, M.D. first reviewed the long psychoanalytic tradition (including Freud, Ferenczi and Rank) of belief in the enduring effects of prenatal and early postnatal experience. However, Dr. Brenner believes that it is still premature to conclude that these earliest experiences form the template upon which all else is patterned and that more research is needed.

In his presentation, “Adoption, Insecurity, and Fear of Attachment”, Martin Silverman, M.D. used material from the analysis of a four-year-old girl with circumstances which had complicated her adoptive process in such a way that uncertainty, insecurity, and rage were heightened and, thus, had interfered with her attachment process. Mother reported that she and K. had “never truly bonded with” each other. When Dr. Silverman began seeing K. at four years of age, she was defiant, oppositional, aggressive, and unruly at nursery school. She was frustrating to her teachers and alienated the other children. At home she and her mother fought constantly. She alternated between demanding mother’s attention and coldly shutting her out, much as she treated the children at school. She was not really affectionate or loving with either parent, and she was extremely jealous of attention paid to her two-year-old sister whom she usually treated as if she did not exist. She was most loving with her grandmother who lived a thousand miles away and whom she saw only twice a year.

For the first five to six months of treatment, they played out the story of Peter Pan with K. as an extremely controlling director. The primary theme that emerged in this play revolved around rivalrous envy of those who have a maternal caretaker and the intolerable pain of being rejected and unwanted. The first theme was evident in Captain Hook’s envy of Peter Pan and the boys who had Nana to protect them. Hook decided that if he could not have Nana for himself, then he would kill her so that Peter Pan and the children couldn’t have her either. However, Hook later felt unsure about whether he should kidnap Nana to have her for himself instead of killing her. Dr. Silverman took this as a sign that K. was holding onto the idea of reuniting with her lost, abandoning objects rather than breaking off all relations with them and destroying them in narcissistic hurt and rage. The second theme was evident in K.’s insisting that Dr. Silverman, as Tinker Bell, pine away in hurt and pain and misery of her unrequited love for Peter Pan and die. Dr. Silverman argued with K. periodically about whether or not Tinker Bell really had to suffer that much (i.e. Could K. get over her fear and pain and narcissistic rage as a rejected, unwanted child enough to let her adoptive parents into her heart?). Dr. Silverman also asked if Tinker Bell had to die (i.e. Did K. have to give up on ever letting herself get close to someone and let herself love and be loved?). Dr. Silverman suggested that Wendy could talk to Tinker Bell and convince her to hold on and hold out and to not give up, but to keep trying and to keep insisting. Reluctantly, K. agreed to let Tinker Bell live. Wendy could nurse her back to health and help her regain her will to live but K. was not sure about restoring Tinker Bell’s will to love. Another important aspect of treatment was helping K. to reflect upon the pain that she was feeling and the pain that she was inflicting because this was depriving her and her adoptive mother of the attachment and loving, secure relationship which they could potentially have with each other. Dr. Silverman interpreted the defensive nature of her hard-hearted lack of feeling for Tinker Bell, for her adoptive family, and for him. Later in treatment, K. became angry at Dr. Silverman and ripped up a Valentine’s Day card which she had spent weeks making for him. Immediately following this session, K. called Dr. Silverman and asked him to describe his clothes and his surroundings. Dr. Silverman told K. that it seemed to him that K. had gotten so angry at him when he had ended her session and sent her away, even after she had made that wonderful Valentine for him, that she had ripped up the card and ripped up the picture she had of him inside her head. He added that it was like she had destroyed him. But Dr. Silverman told K. that he felt hurt that she had torn up his card. When K. said that it didn’t matter, Dr. Silverman insisted otherwise and said to K., “You broke my heart!” In the months that followed, they spent a lot of time analyzing this incident in terms of her fear of getting close to Dr. Silverman and letting him get close to her, in terms of her fear of loving Dr. Silverman and letting Dr. Silverman love her, in terms of the pain of other children not liking her, in terms of the world’s being different, and in terms of the circumstances of her adoption. K. became better able to express her feelings of missing others and her hungry feelings for mommy. This was a quite helpful treatment. However, the adoptive parents did break off her treatment before Dr. Silverman and K. had decided it was time to stop.

In his discussion of Dr. Silverman’s presentation,
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Paul Viola, M.D. expressed his feeling that love was truly at the core of Dr. Silverman’s technique in which he was able to accept the child’s direction in play, to tolerate the narcissistic pain of affectless, cold manipulation and rejection of him, to tolerate her hatred and destructiveness, and to sensitively interpret and convey his understanding to her. Dr. Viola concluded that part of the success of this analysis was that this young child learned that it was possible for her experiences of hurt and rage to be accepted, understood, and countered with love.

Dr. Harold Blum presented the annual Margaret S. Mahler literature prize to Vamik D. Volkan, M.D. in recognition of his outstanding scholarly contributions inspired by the work of Margaret Mahler. Because Dr. Volkan was out of the country, Salman Akhtar, M.D. accepted this award on his behalf.

As always, the program concluded with a lively discussion among panelists and guests. Issues raised included: the relationship between attachment and object constancy; the importance of prevention and early intervention with attachment problems so that attachment disorders do not develop; the importance of biological factors, including temperament, as well as experience in determining the outcome of adoption; the importance of not denying the difficulties encountered in adoptions while keeping in mind that the great majority of adoptions have positive outcomes; the necessity to make the adoptive process as rapid as possible; the important roles for grandparents as well as for mothers and fathers; questions regarding when and how to talk about adoption with the adoptive child; and questions surrounding the child’s knowledge of and contact with biological parents.

THE 3rd INTERNATIONAL MARGARET S. MAHLER SYMPOSIUM TO BE HELD IN TOKYO
William M. Singletary, M.D.

The 3rd International Margaret S. Mahler Symposium, “Affect Development and Regulation during Separation-Individuation,” will be held in Tokyo, Japan from March 31 to April 2, 2000. This program was developed by Dr. Harold Blum, the Program Chairman, and Dr. Keigo Ikonogi, a Japanese psychoanalyst and President of the Kodera Foundation for Psychoanalytic Study, in order to extend the dialogue on affect opened at the last International Psychoanalytic Congress in Santiago. Drs. Blum and Okonogi will open the program which will feature major presentations by Drs. Salman Akhtar, Anni Bergman, Harold Blum, and Phyllis Tyson with Japanese psychoanalysts as discussants. Cross-cultural considerations are an integral aspect of this Symposium which begins with an afternoon program to introduce all non-Japanese international guests and their families to the culture of Japan. Pre-symposium discussion groups related to the Symposium theme will be co-chaired by Drs. Daniel Freeman, Mortimer Ostow, and Henri Parens respectively, and a bilingual Japanese analyst or therapist. Also, after viewing videotapes of Dr. Mahler’s original research, Drs. Anni Bergman and Daniel Freeman will lead a discussion focusing on looking at mother-child interactions from Japanese and American perspectives. In addition, workshops again with leaders both from Japan and the United States will view and discuss Japanese videotapes related to infant observational research, intercultural comparison studies, and child psychotherapy. Finally, Dr. Harold Blum will moderate a closing panel discussion and make a closing summary statement.

This Symposium reflects the commitment of the Mahler Foundation to making knowledge about separation-individuation theory and its applications in psychoanalysis, in psychotherapy and in prevention and early intervention available to our international colleagues. The Symposium grows out of international psychoanalytic and, in particular, Japanese interest in separation-individuation theory and represents a collaborative effort between our Japanese hosts and the Margaret S. Mahler Psychiatric Research Foundation. The Mahler Foundation is also indebted to Dr. Osamu Kitayama and to other Japanese and American colleagues for their help in arranging this program. Japanese hospitality will also be reflected in sightseeing arrangements to enhance our enjoyment of Japan’s beauty during the time of the cherry blossoms. For further information on the program or on our special travel arrangements, please contact Ms. Patricia Carr at 1525 Locust Street, 13th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102-3732 ( ), 215-985-1135/1136 ( ), or 215-985-1232 (Fax).
October 2, 1999 v Report to the ACP Executive Committee v Cleveland, Ohio

REGISTRY OF CASES
June 1999
Virginia R. Kerr

Thanks to every one of you for your enthusiastic response to the Registry of Cases Committee questionnaire. We received responses from 135 members, and the results are presented below. This data represents a start in sharing with all members the outcome of all responses to ACP inquiries about the clinical practice of child analysis. We hope that this vital information is the basis for ongoing yearly surveys which will serve comparison and help our thinking about our professional work.

Children seen in 5 times weekly analysis
Sixteen children were seen in psychoanalysis for 5 sessions per week. Their ages at the start of treatment were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Start</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three of the sixteen terminated their treatments this year. The number of years in treatment was 5, 6 ½, and 9.

Thirteen of the cases are ongoing. The number of years in treatment so far is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in Treatment</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 years</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of analyst’s locale, the following locales are represented:

Children seen in 4 times weekly analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locale</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London, England</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue, Washington</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis MO</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor, MI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester City, NY</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One hundred seventeen children were seen in 4 sessions per week analysis. Their ages at the start of treatment were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Start</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-19</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twenty-one of the one hundred seventeen terminated their treatments this year. Number of years in treatment were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in Treatment</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ninety-six of the cases are ongoing. The number of years in treatment so far is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in Treatment</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 years</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of analyst’s locale, the following locales are represented:

Locale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locale</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London, England</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on page 11)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locale</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis, MO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locale Unspecified</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of children</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Children seen 3 times weekly**

It is, of course, understood that four or five times per week is our organization’s standard for psychoanalysis, but we are interested in learning about our member’s work with psychotherapy cases at a 3 times per week frequency because it is widely practiced. Fifty-seven children were seen for three sessions per week. Their ages at the start of treatment were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Start</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of years in treatment were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in Treatment</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 years</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fifty of the cases are ongoing. The number of years in treatment so far is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locale</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna, Austria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London, England</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee, WI</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis, MO</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locale Unspecified</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of children</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of analyst’s locale, the following locales are represented:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locale</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis, MO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locale Unspecified</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seven of the fifty-seven children terminated their treatments.
SPECIAL NOTE TO CANDIDATE MEMBERS:

As of April 1998, Sylvia Welch and I were chosen to represent your interests at meetings of the Association’s Executive Council. The Committee welcomes participation of candidate members into many ACP functions, including your contributions to the work of a number of Committees open to candidates: Arrangements, Communications, Assistance to Child Analysis in Eastern Europe, Extension Division, liaison to other Organizations (U. S. and International), Membership, Newsletter, and Study Groups and Continuing Education. We will be happy to introduce you to Committee Chairpersons, and urge that you read the reports of their activities as they appear in the Newsletter. In addition, we want to encourage you to write about what you are doing and to submit your reports to our Newsletter Editors for publication, or to the Program Committee for presentation at our yearly scientific meetings. Particularly, we would like to invite you to bring to our attention any confusions, concerns, questions, issues, suggestions, needs, or resentments; and we would welcome hearing about your feelings of delight and satisfaction about positive or participatory experiences which you have experienced during Association membership. If you know of other individuals who are pursuing child analytic training, please help to bring them into our Association. Feel free to contact either of us about any of these or other matters.

Nathaniel Donson, 185 East Palisade Avenue, Apt. A3, Englewood, New Jersey 07631, ☏: 201-568-5217, email MNDonson@aol.com or Sylvia Welch, 27 West 86th Street, Suite C, New York City, New York 10024, ☏: 212-362-5846
MINUTES of the EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Saturday, October 2, 1999 v Hanna Perkins Center v Cleveland, Ohio

Any errors or corrections may be sent to the Secretary, Joseph Bierman, M.D., or to the Association’s Administrator, Mrs. Nancy Hall, P.O. Box 253, Ramsey, New Jersey 07446. These minutes will be submitted for approval at the Executive Meeting at the Annual Meeting of the Association in Miami in April 2000.

Mrs. Furman called the meeting of the Executive Committee to order at 12 noon (EDT) at the Hanna Perkins Center, Cleveland Ohio. Attending in person were Denia Barrett, Joseph Bierman, Peter Blos, Jr., Arthur Farley, Erna Furman, Robert Furman, Virginia Kerr, Jack Novick, Kerry Kelly Novick, Lilo Plaschkes, Barbara Streeter, Robin Turner and Nancy Hall, Administrator. Attending by telephone were Paula Atkeson, Nathaniel Donson, Marion Gedney, Ruth Karush, Stanley Leiken, Karen Marschke-Tobier, Julio Morales, Isabel Paret, Martin Silverman and Elizabeth Tuters. All present agreed to be taped, the tapes by policy being destroyed after the minutes of the meeting have been approved.

The minutes of the prior Executive Committee meeting of March 26, 1999 were unanimously accepted.

Erna Furman announced one addition and two changes to the order of business of the published agenda: the motion submitted by Martin Silverman concerning confidentiality in committee meetings to be added to the items under New Business; Stanley Leiken to be calling in at 3 p.m. to give his report of the CME/CE and Study Groups Committee; and Julio Morales not being able to call in his Treasurer's Report until 12:30 p.m. The agenda was accepted by unanimous vote.

Secretary's Report
The total membership stands at 616 members. There are 460 regular members, 79 of whom are International, 152 candidate members, 16 of whom are International, and 4 collegial members. There are 17 countries represented. Four members are deceased since March 26,1999 and no members have resigned since that time. The Secretary reported figures on resignations since 1995, since that had been a point of discussion in Seattle. There have been 19 resignations. The reasons stated by some were: (1) closing of practice, (2) no longer seeing child patients, and (3) no other child analysts in the country. Others resigned without giving a reason.

Erna Furman reported that an apparent drop in membership that was brought up at the last meeting turned out to be an erroneous impression. The membership has actually stayed rather constant. The Committee unanimously accepted the Secretary's report.

President's Report
a. Because the last election for councillors had produced two tied pairs which luckily had not affected the top three choices, and because the bylaws did not specifically offer guidelines for this contingency of tie votes, the President proposed a Bylaw amendment for tied elections that would have both tied candidates serving full terms one after the other. In 1973 there had been a runoff election for councillor. Her proposal sparked a lively discussion of the pros and cons of the different methods of handling ties. Should there be a distinction between presidential and councillor elections? Four years between presidential elections seems too long. The pool of presidential electables would be reduced. Runoff balloting costs money. Good people who had received half of the votes might be lost. Tie votes for president involves four people, not just two, since the secretary is chosen by the president. The Committee gelled in its sentiment that there should not be any changes in the Bylaws and runoffs would be more definitive. The Committee then voted unanimously against the proposed amendment. A subsequent proposal that there should be a distinction between the positions of officer and councillor as to how tie votes are handled garnered only two affirmative votes.

b. As requested by Martin Silverman, Erna Furman reported on the expenses of the mid-year Executive Committee meetings of 1997 in New York and 1998 in Cleveland. The 1997 expenses were $2356.78 and the 1998 expenses were $1380.95. Her estimate was that they would be a little lower at this meeting.

In order to help decide what he will do about the mid-year meeting venue when he assumes the office, Martin Silverman, the President-elect, requested that the members of the Executive Committee discuss their views about the present venue. It was decided that he would design a questionnaire on the matter to be distributed to the Committee and that there would be a discussion at the meeting in Miami.

c. With spontaneous assent by some councillors and a committee chair when the proposal of giving information to the councillors as soon as it is available was put forward, the Committee unanimously voted to distribute the agenda material and minutes of the last (preceding their term) Executive Committee meeting to them as soon as they are available.

(Continued on page 14)
d. The policy on membership dues required clarification. Australia has been included in the dues invoice with the U.S.A., Canada and Mexico, with the other division being Europe, Africa and Asia. South America had been lumped with the U.S.A. (There are currently no South American members). The 1996 ballot for an increase in dues had the division of “Overseas Members.” This is distinct from the North American countries. Erna Furman recommended, and the Committee unanimously agreed, that there be two dues divisions: North America and Overseas.

The discussion then moved to the matter of what dues Mexican and Canadian members should pay. Erna Furman had consulted about the average Mexican analytic fees with Janet Szydlo who said they were between $30–40. Elizabeth Tuters said that the average Canadian analytic fees were between $60–100 (US) and thus the Canadians could afford, in her opinion, to pay the $150 in dues. In the discussion the dues system of the American Psychological Association, which is a sliding system based on income and fee charged, was mentioned but thought to pose too many logistical problems as was the idea of a generalized sliding dues scale based on every member’s fees. The Committee was in agreement that it was best to set a fee to circumvent Mexican members having to ask for a reduction. The Committee unanimously passed a motion for Mexico to be bundled with the Overseas division and its members pay the fee of $75.

e. The President proposed that the Roster–Handbook contain not only the usual professional demographic information, address, telephone number etc. but also basic information on policies, guidelines, and practices to include the following items: the newly amended Bylaws, ACP Internet Guidelines for Referrals, Requests and Obituaries, ACP Statement Differentiating ACP from Individual Members’ Opinions, Harassment Policy for Employees, Grievance Procedure, Insurance Coverage Summary, Guidelines for Spending ACP Funds: Mandatory Submission of Requests; Marianne Kris Award; Invited Speakers, ACP Relationships with Non–Members, Guidelines for ACP Co–Sponsored Local Workshops, Archives: Beginning of the ACP; History of the Annual and European Meetings, and the Membership Application Form. This proposal was approved unanimously. The extra cost of including this handbook material with the roster was only $10.

f. The President had suggested guidelines for the ACP Co-sponsored Local Workshops that it was thought would enhance the successful cooperation between the ACP and the local professional group. The Chair of the Local Workshop Committee, Karen Marschke–Tobier, thought guidelines would be very helpful. One of the proposed guidelines that stimulated much discussion stated that the Extension Committee Chair and the local representative would get in touch with one another six months prior to the planned date of the Local Workshop. Views ranged from not having any stated deadline to the suggestion of a year instead of six months. The Committee, with one exception, agreed on the wording of ‘at least six months.’

The Committee Chairs’ Reports

a. The Abstract Committee

Kent Hart in his written report stressed that early selection of presenters and reporters would facilitate contact between them and promote a more successful and timely submission of the reports in the spring for the Newsletter. This was underlined by Erna Furman. The Committee discussed how it would be valuable and fitting to include a summary of the moderator’s remarks which would be helpful in establishing a context for the papers presented by the panelists. The Committee complimented not only the Abstract Committee, but also the Program Committee, the reporters and the Newsletter.

Martin Silverman and Peter Blos, Jr. will be in touch with David Tuckett and the International Journal about the possibility of publishing the summaries of the child analytic papers presented in Santiago in the Newsletter after they are published in the Journal of the International.

b. The Arrangements Committee

In his written report Jack Pelaccio gave details of the Miami Beach Sheraton Four Points Hotel selection for the April 14–16, 2000 meeting.

The Committee voted unanimously to move the meeting dates in 2001 to the weekend before the Palm Sunday weekend because coincidentally the first two days of Passover would fall on that weekend. This move followed a precedent in 1994 with the same coincidence.

The discussion then turned to the choice of venue for the annual meeting for 2001. The choice that had been determined at the Seattle meeting was between Denver and Los Angeles. Los Angeles had never been the site of an annual meeting, and

(Continued on page 15)
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Denver had not been the site since 1985. The Committee voted 8 to 3 in favor of Los Angeles.

The Treasurer's Report

By this time Julio Morales had joined the Committee by telephone. The President introduced this report by thanking Julio Morales and Co-Chairs, Arthur Farley, and Robert Furman as the members of the related Ad Hoc Committee on Budgets and Donations for a huge job accomplished in a brief period of time. The Treasurer started with the Proposed Form of a Budget, the first in the 33-year history of the ACP, with a detailed outline for accounting procedures to match it. He had specifically asked Robert Furman to be present to answer questions. Donations had doubled in the first 6 months of the year. Robert Furman reported that 40% of senior members, who do not pay full dues, had sent in donations. The Budget and Development Committee in its report had suggested that senior members might be utilized to solicit donations from others. The President reminded the Committee that candidates and European members also donated. Donations would be very valuable in furthering the work of the Planning Committee in helping to find analytic cases and in furnishing funds for the Grants Committee to help subsidize analyses, which will be presented at the meetings on Fridays. The discussion stressed publicizing the potential donors among regular and candidate members the fact that the ACP funds the development of Child Analysis in Eastern Europe. The Committee was unanimous in voting for the appointment of an Ad Hoc Development Committee with Robert Furman and Arthur Farley as Co-Chairs and with the Treasurer, Julio Morales, as ex-officio member.

The Committee voted unanimously for the adoption of the concept of a budget.

The next matter to be discussed was the ending date of the fiscal year. The pros and cons of changing the fiscal year which now coincides with the calendar year were discussed. One advantage would be that the end of the fiscal year would coincide with the Executive Committee meeting when the budget is processed. Robert Furman suggested the end of February or shortly after that -- but in any case a date that would give adequate time for processing and circulating information to the Executive Committee. One disadvantage would be the necessity of dealing with, CPA and IRS and perhaps others in order to effect such a change. The Committee discussed the idea of keeping the calendar year ending for the fiscal year and preparing an additional budget to carry up to the annual meeting. This plan would be tried for a year or two. Meanwhile, the President would inquire from legal counsel about what would be necessary to change the fiscal year. The Committee voted with one abstention to keep the present fiscal year which ends with the calendar year.

The President reported that the Budget Committee had recommended both that Mrs. Hall receive a yearly cost of living adjustment in a lump sum in the spring when the figures become available starting in 2000 (for the year 1999) and that she receive a small structural increase of $500 for the year 2000. The Executive Committee had been polled previously by the President and had been unanimous in their approval of both recommendations and in their appreciation of Mrs. Hall's work.

The Committee also unanimously approved a letter from the entire Committee to Mr. Joel Mangham, our investment advisor in Charlottesville, thanking him for his valuable contribution, which we very much appreciate. This would be a follow-up to the letter of thanks that the President had written him after assuming her office.

Continuing with the report of the Budget Committee, Robert Furman brought up three items that were in the report; (1) check writing limit, (2) an increase in the endowment fund pegged to the cost of living increase each year, and (3) raising the question of whether the operating fund is fiscally sound and the exploration of this by the President and/or Treasurer with Mr. Mangham.

Item (3) was discussed first. Robert Furman stressed the potential lower earning power of the operating fund when compared to the endowment fund and wondered if it would be possible to have only the endowment fund and the checking account which would have the cash in it for operating expenses. The Treasurer stressed the relative stability of the fund which contains bonds and money market funds. It was agreed that the Treasurer would review this question with Mr. Mangham.

For item (1) the Committee voted unanimously to establish a limit of $250 for checks written by the President for items that are not in the budget. Checks above this amount would need Executive Committee approval.

The discussion then centered on the endowment fund, its purpose and philosophy. It was agreed that the main established purpose of the fund was to provide for child analyses. Robert Furman stressed how endowment funds should have holdings of double operating expenses and that the excess should be used for subsidizing child analyses. He was of the opinion that the rule of thumb of twice operating expenses was designed to cover falls in the stock market, a contingency that had been brought up in thinking about safety margins. Julio Morales will discuss with Mr. Mangham how much we need in the endowment fund as a margin of safety. Supplied with this
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information, Robert Furman, at the request of the President and with the support of the Committee, will write an article for the Newsletter about the endowment fund. The Treasurer will send a copy of a 1994 letter about budgetary matters signed by the then President that he has been using as a guideline in budgetary matters to Mrs. Hall for distribution to the Committee.

Continuing Education Committee
Stanley Leiken joined the meeting by phone to give his report on his current investigation into the possibility of continuing education credits for social workers. He is suggesting that social workers apply to their own states asking for credit. There are 16 states represented by the social workers in our organization desiring credit who had responded to his survey, and the requirements of all the states are different. For example, Ohio (5 responded from Ohio) for out of state meetings only requires sending in information about the meeting and answering a few questions, while Texas requirements are said to be extremely burdensome. It would be an impossible administrative task for the ACP to fulfill all these varying requirements. Stanley Leiken would let the various people know that they would have to be the initiators of the process. The ACP would then be available to help on an individual basis. Our certificates could be used. Since the ACP pays some money for the continuing education credits of other professions, reasonable costs would be paid. The Executive Committee unanimously supported Stanley Leiken in his plan. He will write a letter describing it to the social worker members who responded and include it also in the Newsletter.

Communications Committee
Leon Hoffman did not send in a report for this committee. With his knowledge, Karen Marschke–Tobier and Erna Furman chaired an Outreach meeting in Seattle and wrote a report which was published in the June 1999 Newsletter.

Since the installation of the disclaimers on the web page recommended by legal counsel, there have been none of the worrisome calls that generated the disclaimers.

Committee to Coordinate Assistance to Child Analysis in Eastern European Countries
The President thanked Lilo Plaschkes and Peter Blos, Jr. who had assisted her with the work of this committee. She has received a response from Johan Norman, the Chair of COCAP, who was very pleased with the report. He said that he would speak to Robert Tyson who would be looking into the possibility of including Hanna Perkins and Anna Freud Centre graduates who are not currently allowed to be members of the IPA. Peter Blos, Jr. reminded the Committee that ACP members participate in panels at the IPA meetings and pay members’ registration fees which are lower than guests. The President will not go along with the idea that to be on a panel at the IPA meetings, an ACP member would have to be a member of the IPA. This would mean that ACP members would be treated differently from one another. Neither would she go along with the idea that the ACP member would also have to be a training analyst and thus have to be at an Institute. There should be no restrictions on ACP members’ participation. Since the ACP furnishes $1000 for assistance to the IPA Committee on Eastern Europe, publication of the Eastern European Committee report in the Newsletter would allow the IPA members to see what they are getting for their money.

Ethics Committee
Erna Furman thanked Peter Blos, Jr. and his committee for the difficult work they are doing and for their commitment to completing an acceptable statement of ethical principles and a workable outline of procedures to use when ethical questions arise.

Grant Committee
The President recommended that the requirement of matching funds from an Institute that is applying for a grant be dropped. This requirement has kept smaller Institutes that could not afford to supply matching funds from applying. The Grant Committee had unanimously approved this recommendation which was then unanimously approved by the Executive Committee, with the proviso of encouraging matching funds. The President said that she had inquired into whether an individual could apply for a grant and was informed that this possibility was not excluded.

After Arthur Farley gave some details of an analytic case at the Houston Institute of a child with an impecunious family, the Executive Committee unanimously approved a $5000 grant.

The legal opinion about the liability of the ACP with grants subsidizing child analyses is as follows: We are not liable if we adhere to the following guidelines: (1) no identifying information should be released, (2) the recipients, institution or analyst, should sign a disclaimer similar to one that we have on our website, (3) we do not accept responsibility for the conduct of the case. The applicant for a grant should send a request to Mrs. Nancy Hall.

At this point in the meeting Martin Silverman had to leave the meeting (by phone). Because of the press of time, his agenda item under new business of confidentiality of committee meetings could not be discussed, but will be on the agenda in Miami. On another matter he had brought up our relations with French child analysts – the President
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thought it would be more politic not to get into it, until the matter had been explored with our French ACP members, which she will do.

Nominating Committee
The Committee had nominated the following members for the offices of President, Treasurer, and Councillors (in alphabetical order):

**President**
Paul Brinich, Lilo Plaschkes

**Treasurer**
Tom Barrett, Diane Manning

**Councillors**
Cynthia Carlson, Sergio Delgado, Carla Elliot–Neely, Catherine Henderson, Adriana Lis, Janet Szydlo

Julio Morales commented on the presence of only one M.D. in this list of nominees. This led into a spirited discussion of how the committee had come to its conclusions about the choice of who should stand for office, what the relative proportions between M.D. and non–M.D. officers had been over the years or should be in the future, what the qualifications should be for any office, such as service to the ACP. The Chair of the Nominating Committee reminded the Executive Committee that the composition of the Nominating Committee was two M.D.s and three non–M.D.s, and that there had been an effort, for example, to balance geographically. The voting had not taken place along medical/non-medical lines.

The slate of the Nominating Committee was passed by a vote of 8 in favor with 2 abstaining.

Future Planning Committee
The Executive Committee voted unanimously to accept the report of the Future Planning Committee chaired by Jack Novick addressing five priorities for maintaining the mission of the ACP: (1) the annual meeting, (2) the Newsletter, (3) case finding, (4) maintaining and expanding membership, (5) evaluation, performed in an ongoing way by committee members.

Registry of Cases Committee
The President commented that Virginia Kerr’s report speaks for itself and suggested that it go in the Newsletter. This would allow the general membership to give any suggestions about ways to tabulate the data.

Erna Furman then adjourned the meeting which ran four hours.

Respectively submitted,
This report is based on five rounds of discussion via Fax and one committee meeting at the Annual Meeting of the ACP in Seattle, 1999.

The committee worked hard and effectively during the year and came up with five priorities for maintaining the mission of the ACP. These were discussed during the year and the following is a summary of the committee’s deliberations and suggestions. We would like some discussion and feedback.

THE ANNUAL MEETING
All members agreed that the maintenance of the annual meeting is the primary mission of the ACP. Some specific suggestions were made:

a. Topic. All were pleased with the current emphasis on clinical cases and the way cases were chosen for presentation

Suggestion: Feedback the positive response and commend the Program Committee chairs and members for their creativity and hard work.

b. Small groups. The box lunch small group discussion format has proved very successful.

Suggestion: Rotating speakers may put an unfair burden on the speaker and can disrupt the group dynamic. The discussion group leaders should have advance copies of plenary presentations, and they might have a brief (10 minute) informational meeting with the plenary speakers before the small group meeting. The small groups could then settle into their own discussion of the presentations guided and facilitated by the leaders and uninterrupted by a visitor. This could be done on a trial basis with feedback to the Program Committee.

c. Place and time of meeting. This is a controversial topic with a complex history. Bob Furman provided us with a history of the deliberations around this topic and the relatively unsuccessful meetings held in Europe. The committee veered from one extreme to the other and has now settled into the position that Palm Sunday weekend is part of our tradition and has become an established expectation of our members. Two arguments for change of time should be looked into further. Palm Sunday is one of the major holidays and it was said that accommodations were at a premium and expensive. If this were so, a change of meeting time would have a substantial impact on those who attend, and perhaps would increase the attendance.

Suggestion: The Arrangement Committee could come up with hotel and airline figures. If the difference is substantial, the membership could be polled as to their preference.

d. Attendance. This is not an item of great concern but the committee felt that attendance should be monitored and thought should be given to easy ways of increasing attendance. The number attending has ranged from a low of 110 in 1976 in Kansas City, to a high of 230 in 1980 in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Thirteen of the 20 meetings for which we have records, had attendance ranging from 128 – 188. The four most popular meetings were, Cambridge, MA – 230, 1980; Washington, D.C. – 225, 1994; Santa Fe, NM – 209, 1990; and Washington, D.C. – 200, 1978.

Suggestion: The Program and Arrangement Committees could come up with concrete suggestions for increasing attendance to a level of 200 or 30% of our current membership.

NEWSLETTER
This was ranked second in importance for maintaining the mission of the ACP. Some of the specific suggestions regarding content, length of articles and distribution to non-members have already been conveyed to the editors and are being implemented.

Suggestion: Convey our full support and emphasize the importance of the newsletter as a crucial function of the ACP. The idea of polling members on various issues arose in our discussions and the editors might think of ways to include a survey in the newsletter.

CASE FINDING
Case finding for treatment emerged early on as an item of top priority and remained so through our subsequent deliberations. We concluded that it was extremely important for the Executive and each Committee to focus on the issues of building and maintaining a child analysis analytic practice. As one member said, with no cases to treat, we have no reason to exist and for many members and in many regions there are no cases to treat. The ACP in its Friday morning meeting, and the work of the
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Communication and Extension Committees is already focusing on practice issues but the Future Planning Committee felt that it was important to emphasize how crucial this mission is and to encourage discussion of ways to focus on case finding, coordinate efforts within and outside of the ACP, find and publicize the methods of successful practitioners.

Suggestion: The Extension and Communication Committees can begin to work out a way to coordinate, emphasize, publicize and focus on finding child analysis treatment cases. Another suggestion was to have a brief, half-hour “nuts and bolts” presentation by an annual honored child analysis practitioner. This would be at a time when all could attend. Details could be worked out but one thought is that this annual honored practitioner talk could be our after dinner speaker.

MAINTAIN AND EXPAND MEMBERSHIP

In the course of our deliberations we concluded that we need to expand in order to maintain the current level of membership. A large proportion of our membership is over 70 and there is an overall decline in new child analysis candidates. The group emphasized that recruitment should be on an individual basis.

Suggestion: The Membership Committee should add a “member seeking function,” to its charge. The committee would implement ways to enlist our members in personalized member seeking.

Under this heading of membership we discussed the complex and difficult topic of relations with our European colleagues. We need to focus on the ways to establish a mutually beneficial relationship with European child analysis, especially if we are to remain an International ACP. The European consultants on our committee noted some of the many difficulties and had some suggestions for joint meetings. This too is not an easy solution as detailed in the history of ACP meetings in Europe. The problems are of both a logistic and personal nature and the committee can at the moment do no more than emphasize that relationships with overseas child analysts is a major problem requiring effort and focus.

Suggestion: A committee be formed to focus on international relations.

EVALUATION

We discussed three areas of evaluation:

a. Keeping track of our committee’s suggestions to the Executive and noting if they have been discussed, accepted and if so, to what effect.

b. Suggest to the Executive and committee chairs to do the same for their proposals.

c. Suggest that each proposal be evaluated from the perspective of the mission of the ACP as discussed above.

In this light it was suggested that the Future Planning Committee continue until the mission of the ACP is integrated into all ACP actions and the results routinely evaluated.

ADDENDUM

I was asked to add a suggestion as to how the Future Planning Committee could function in order to maintain the mission of the ACP, and especially those recommendations described under the category of “evaluation.” I would suggest that:

a. Each member of the Future Planning Committee be assigned to one or two committees with the task of working together with the chair to work out how to implement the suggestions arising from the Future Planning Committee.

b. These discussions with the committee chairs will take place (by fax, phone, e-mail or in person) twice a year, before the mid-year and the annual meeting.

c. A brief report on these meetings will be circulated to the Future Planning Committee and a summary of the group deliberations will be made by the chair and presented at the Executive meeting.

d. If the Executive approves this plan, then I will ask the current members of the Future Planning Committee if they wish to stay on with the new responsibilities.

e. Additional members will be added if necessary.

CALL FOR PAPERS

7th Congress World Association for Infant Mental Health
“Diversity: Challenges and Opportunities in Infancy”
July 26-30, 2000
Montreal, Quebec, Canada

For information contact:
WAIMH, Kellogg Center, #27 MSU,
East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
FAX: 517-432-3694
E-mail: waimh@pilot.msu.edu

For further information about this, please contact:
Elizabeth Tuters
☎ 416-964-7878
TO ALL ACP MEMBERS,

I am glad to let you know that we have started a Psychotherapy and Psychoanalytic child program in Padova. Supervision and papers (in English) are welcome.

Please contact me: Prof.ssa Adriana Lis

Laboratori Interdipartimentali per la Ricerca Psicologica Applicata e Clinica (L.I.Ri.P.A.C.)
Via Belzoni 80, 35131, Padova, ITALIA
Tel: +39-049-8275839 / FAX +39-049-8275840

Dipartimento di Psicologia dello Sviluppo e della Socializzazione (D.P.S.S.)
Università degli Studi di Padova

Home/Office phone and FAX: 011-049-652300
E-Mail: lis@ux1.psicopd.it

Approved by the Executive Committee on October 2, 1999

Depending on available funds, the ACP dispenses yearly varying amounts of money to support the analytic treatment of indigent children.

Applications should be sent to the Chair of the Grant Committee, c/o Mrs. Nancy Hall, P.O. Box 253, Ramsey, NJ 07446.

Grant applications need to include the following information:

The amount of funds requested;

a. A one or two page description of the patient and his/her analysis, excluding data that could identify the individual child and family, but including the reasons for their inability to pay all or any part of the analysis;

b. Name of the person who will use the grant;

c. Name of the sponsoring organization with statement of their (501) (C) (3) tax exempt approval by the IRS;

Availability of matching funds by the sponsoring organization:

The Grant Committee favors the availability of matching funds and encourages applicants to provide them, but applications without matching funds will also be considered.

If the grant is approved, the transfer of funds needs to be accompanied by the applicant signing the following disclaimer:

By providing grants toward the analytic treatment of this case and all therapeutic contacts related to it, the ACP makes no representation and accepts no responsibility concerning the nature or quality of any care, consultation or treatment which may be provided, nor does the ACP provide any care, consultation or treatment as an organization. The undersigned hereby accepts and agrees to the above disclaimer.

If the grant is approved, the analyst of the case also accepts the understanding that he/she may be asked to present the analytic work at an Annual Scientific Meeting of the ACP.

Grants are for one year only but are renewable by reapplying and including a progress report.

Unused funds are returnable to the ACP.

DOES GOD HELP?
FAITH AND BELIEF IN DEVELOPMENT, PSYCHOPATHOLOGY, AND TECHNIQUE

Co-sponsored by The Philadelphia Psychoanalytic Institute and Society and The Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior Jefferson Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University

Date: Saturday, May 6, 2000
Time: 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.
Place: Double Tree Hotel Broad and Locust Streets Philadelphia, PA 19131

Speakers:
William H. Meissner, M.D. Chestnut Hill, MA
Mortimer Ostow, M.D. Riverdale, NY
Ana Maria Rizzuto, M.D. Brookline, MA

Call: Ms. Maryann Nevin at 215-955-8420
REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS AND DONATIONS

Julio Morales, M.D., Treasurer and Committee Chair
Arthur J. Farley, M.D.
Robert A. Furman, M.D.

BUDGET

Article IV, paragraph 3 of our Bylaws states that the Treasurer “Shall prepare the annual budget.” Such has never been done in the history of the Association, including 1978-1982 when one of us (Robert A. Furman) was the Treasurer.

A budget makes it possible to compare income and expenses one year with another; makes it possible to monitor all expenses. It is the responsible way to manage an organization’s finances. Apparently in recent years, the American Psychoanalytic Association came to the same conclusion and beneficially so.

A budget for the Association need not be complex since our income and expenses are less than $100,000 a year. It is suggested that the format proposed be used for a few years until modified and adapted to the specific needs of the Association before computerization is considered.

At the Annual Meeting of the Association in the spring, the Treasurer would present a “Budget Summary” to the Executive Committee as illustrated on page 2 of the Addendum. Once approved by the Committee, the budget would be operative the remainder of the year. At the mid-winter meeting, the Treasurer could present an informal report on the status of the budget as its major items, dues and income and expenses from the prior Annual Meeting, could be readily available to him. He could at this time, if he so wished, report on the Association’s Endowment.

DONATIONS

One of the charges to the Committee concerned “Donations” which raised the questions of donations for what purpose, raised from whom and in what manner.

Purpose:

To raise money successfully there must be a purpose that will appeal to those being approached. There is no attraction in seeking funds just to enhance an Endowment others will administer in ways of their choosing and, more importantly, there is no reason to do so. The rule of thumb for agencies or organizations like ours is that there should be financial reserves twice operational expenses. For the ACP over the past four years operational expenses have averaged $81,700, indicating the need for reserves of $163,400. As of December 31, 1998, the reserves in the ACP’s three accounts totaled $175,600.

The specific purpose of a donations campaign we would suggest would be to help children by subsidizing child analyses and to help child analysis by subsidizing the analyses done by candidates as a first but not exclusive priority.

Donors:

As a first step, we would suggest an annual campaign aimed just at our members in their 70’s who no longer pay full dues or pay any dues. Many older members have sought a role in helping the ACP and might well enjoy providing a pace setting leadership example. Success with this group would then be followed by approaching all the membership. Our idea is to start small and let a trend grow over time.

Method:

We would form a small committee of senior members willing personally to contact by phone/fax all their fellow seniors to tell them a letter is coming from the ACP which we hope they will carefully consider. The letter would acknowledge all the worthy causes we all support but point out that many have large constituencies, those like our colleges or schools, Nature Conservancy, World Wildlife Fund and the like. Such is not the case for child analysis which can lean only on a small constituency for child analysts. We would ask them to consider reducing their gifts to those large organizations that could well survive without their support and to give those dollars instead to the ACP.

The personal phone call would be followed up by a subsequent call seeking reaction as well as any questions needing answers, any thought about how to extend the campaign outside our senior membership. Needless to remark, those on the Committee would have to have approved the concept and have given themselves. We would ask those already giving an annual donation to continue that practice and give now in addition. Any funds raised would be administered by the already existing Grants Committee, after having been deposited in the Endowment for growth until used.

Of interest might be the facts that we verified that the ACP is a 501 (c) (3) organization to whom contributions are tax deductible and that there is a total of at least 105 American members who are Senior or Emeritus, paying reduced or no annual dues.

GENERAL FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Although the charge to our Committee involved Budget and Donations, it was not possible to consider these in isolation, leading us to include some “General Financial Considerations” (Continued on page 22)
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1. The ACP Fiscal Year should be officially stated as the Calendar Year.

2. The Executive Committee should consider establishing check writing guidelines, such as any checks written outside the framework of the budget up to $250 would be at the discretion of the President or Treasurer, those above that amount requiring Executive Committee approval.

3. Regarding the Annual Meeting, this should be a self-supporting, profit making venture and any financial arrangements with local sponsoring groups should be in writing to make certain what the limits are for any ACP financial responsibilities for such as parties, extension programs.

4. Regarding the Endowment, we suggest for your consideration:
   a. As noted under ‘Donations,’ we see no need to work to increase the corpus of the Endowment with the following exception. To protect the Endowment from inflation it should receive a cost of living increment each year based on the Consumer Price Index. For example, at the end of 1998, the total of the Association’s three accounts was $175,608. The CPI was 1.3%. 1.3% of $175,608 ($2,283) should be added to the Endowment proper on January 1, 1999 increasing the net value to $177,890 (175,607 + 2,283 = $177,890) with any monies above that level available to buttress operational expenses, subsidize child analyses.
   b. We stress here and under ‘Donations’ the subsidization of child analyses as such helps children in need, could support, though not exclusively, child analysts in training. Such a use of Association assets seems consonant with the goals of the Association’s founders that we be an apolitical, scientific forum for clinical child analysis.
   c. We noted that whereas the Endowment Proper was earning at 13-22% over the last three years, the Operating Fund eared at 5.5%, the checking account at 1% and that the Operating Fund and the checking account at year’s end totaled $83,000. This seemed to us too much money earning at too little interest. Review of our books in recent years revealed that a checking account balance on the first of the year of $25,000 would obviate the need to draw monies from the Operating Fund. To that end, it would seem wise that any funds in the checking account over $25,000 at the start of the year and all the funds in the Operating Fund be transferred to the Endowment Proper, closing the Operating Fund. How the money added to the Endowment proper would be invested would be a matter of consultation with the ACP financial consultant, Mr. Mangham in Charlottesville.

Budget as might have been presented to the Executive Committee at the time of the Annual Meeting in Seattle in the spring of 1999.

BUDGET SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Fiscal Year 1998</th>
<th>Budget as Prepared Fiscal Year 1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dues</td>
<td>47,800.00</td>
<td>45,000¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>2,398.00</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Transfers</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter Subscriptions</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Meeting Fees</td>
<td>39,360.00</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Programs</td>
<td>272.00</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking Account Interest</td>
<td>399.86</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>90,309.86</strong></td>
<td><strong>88,250</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stipends</td>
<td>25,372.00</td>
<td>26,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>1,812.77</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>2,085.77</td>
<td>2,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1,949.95</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank and Credit Card Fees</td>
<td>899.75</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>1,233.17</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing &amp; Xeroxing</td>
<td>950.46</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>2,023.00</td>
<td>2,500¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Organizations</td>
<td>3,272.73³</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>325.00</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants for Child Analyses</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Meeting</td>
<td>28,282.34</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall or Mid-winter Mtg.</td>
<td>1,459.45</td>
<td>1,2504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>80,665.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>82,190</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ fewer back dues to collect; ² increased liability coverage; ³ $500 for Anna Freud Centre NYC program; $500 for Boston Psychoanalytic Reception; $1,000 to Anna Freud Centre for East European activities; Others not known at the moment. ⁴ less expensive Administrator accommodations have been arranged.

(Continued on page 23)
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**Budget Accounts – Page 3: Daily Recording Page: Starting Balance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Check No.</th>
<th>Description Check, source deposit</th>
<th>Amounts</th>
<th>New Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Check</td>
<td>Deposit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Balance at start of fiscal year</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31-99</td>
<td>1998 dues</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-06-99</td>
<td>Reimbursement Fall Meeting phone 137.76</td>
<td>387.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-11-99</td>
<td>Newsletter Subscriptions</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-25-99</td>
<td>Administrator stipend for Dec. 1041</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Gino Awards Koria lecture</td>
<td>126.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31-99</td>
<td>Fax/E-mail</td>
<td>25.51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-14-99</td>
<td>Dec. 1998</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-25-99</td>
<td>Secretary Asst. Roster Help- Claire Huth</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>126.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Supplies, envelopes, tone, labels</td>
<td>403.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Roster postage</td>
<td>1,081.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Roster printing 658 copies</td>
<td>3,192.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Postage 1999 Annual Meeting</td>
<td>359.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-29-99</td>
<td>Dec. 1998 Newsletter Mailing</td>
<td>962.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31-99</td>
<td>Interest earned from bank account</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31-99</td>
<td>Credit Card charges for Jan. 99</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPENING BALANCE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,624.88</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PLUS TOTAL INCOME</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>439.40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LESS TOTAL EXPENSES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,373.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEW BALANCE (CHECKS)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,373.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INCOME:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Dues</th>
<th>Donations</th>
<th>Endowment Transf</th>
<th>News- letter Subser</th>
<th>Annual Meeting Fees</th>
<th>Ext Jpyr</th>
<th>Check Asst. Int.</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAN</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>78.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>419.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEB</td>
<td>5,050.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>14,855</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83.50</td>
<td>20,055.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>34,802.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10,395</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59.03</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45,781.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>5,282.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,642.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40.81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,440.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>1,325.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>204.70</td>
<td>1,539.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BASIC OPERATIONAL EXPENSES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Stipend</th>
<th>Supplies</th>
<th>Postage</th>
<th>Communications</th>
<th>Bank, Credit Cards Fees</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Print &amp; Xerox</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAN</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>879.10</td>
<td>152.21</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,035.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>4,121.70</td>
<td>387.55</td>
<td>561.52</td>
<td>157.51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>284.25</td>
<td>5,671.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>4,455.23</td>
<td>97.49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,005.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>1,875.00</td>
<td>162.96</td>
<td>317.77</td>
<td>127.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>368.88</td>
<td>174.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>1,875.00</td>
<td>237.76</td>
<td>258.01</td>
<td>119.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128.26</td>
<td>2,619.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OTHER EXPENSES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Insurance</th>
<th>Newsletter</th>
<th>Other Organizations</th>
<th>Petty Cash</th>
<th>Specials</th>
<th>Annual Meeting</th>
<th>Fall or Winter Mgr.</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>962.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,273.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>275.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>427.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>711.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,931.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>183.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECONCILIATION PAGE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Basic Exps. page 5</th>
<th>Other Expenses page 6</th>
<th>TOTAL EXPENSES</th>
<th>TOTAL INCOME</th>
<th>START BANK BAL.</th>
<th>CHANGE</th>
<th>END BANK BAL.</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAN</td>
<td>3,035.86</td>
<td>5,337.64</td>
<td>8,373.50</td>
<td>439.40</td>
<td>21,624.88</td>
<td>-7,934.10</td>
<td>13,690.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEB</td>
<td>131.80</td>
<td>325.62</td>
<td>457.42</td>
<td>20,055.50</td>
<td>13,690.78</td>
<td>19,596.18</td>
<td>33,286.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>5,671.18</td>
<td>1,139.38</td>
<td>6,810.56</td>
<td>45,781.53</td>
<td>33,286.86</td>
<td>38,970.97</td>
<td>72,257.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>1,005.89</td>
<td>22,332.97</td>
<td>23,338.86</td>
<td>7,642.20</td>
<td>72,257.83</td>
<td>15,699.66</td>
<td>56,561.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>3,026.72</td>
<td>1,183.63</td>
<td>4,210.35</td>
<td>2,440.81</td>
<td>56,561.17</td>
<td>1,769.54</td>
<td>54,791.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>2,619.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,619.00</td>
<td>1,539.70</td>
<td>54,791.63</td>
<td>-1,079.30</td>
<td>53,712.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Addendum to the Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Budgets and Donations

“Budget Summary” to be presented to the Executive Committee by the Treasurer at the Annual spring meeting. In the example given here, there are but two columns: the first detailing the actual income and expenses for the prior year; the second the budget being proposed for the current year. If one wished, there could be a third or introductory column presenting the budget as had been approved for the prior year.

“Daily Recording Page” is a simple checkbook type, a little different from that currently used successfully by the Administrator. The only difference would be that each item is specifically identified and the involved amounts listed in columns either as deposits or debits.

“Income,” “Basic Operational Expenses,” and “Other Expenses” constitute the only other change from the system currently used by the Administrator and is simply a double entry system. That is, at the end of each month, the Administrator would list on the appropriate pages the income and expense items for that month in columns that represent the categories that will comprise the Budget Summary constructed at year’s end.

“Reconciliation Page” is used to verify the accuracy of the records as maintained each month, comparing the figures with the bank balance of the checking account. The column marked “change” is derived by combining the month’s income and expenses as either an increase or a (decrease) in the bank balance, the decreases noted by being placed in parentheses.

The illustrative examples in the Addendum are for the Budget Summary, a budget as might have been presented last spring in Seattle. The Daily Recording Page is for January 1999, although they were constructed for each of the first six months of 1999 to check the feasibility of the system, to make possible the entries in the succeeding pages for the first six months of 1999.
ASSOCIATION FOR CHILD PSYCHOANALYSIS, INC.

ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC MEETING

MERICAN 2000
APRIL 14-16

Theme:
Techniques of Reconstruction of Preverbal Trauma

FRIDAY, APRIL 14, 2000
9:00 a.m.-4:00 p.m.  Registration
4:00 p.m.-6:00 p.m.  Choice of Workshops
7:00 p.m-            Cocktails, Dinner with Entertainment

SATURDAY, APRIL 15, 2000
9:00 a.m.-12:00 p.m. Child Analytic Case Presentations
12:30 p.m.-  2:00 p.m. Discussion Groups

SUNDAY, APRIL 16, 2000
9:00 a.m.-10:00 a.m. Annual Business Meeting
10:00 a.m.-Noon     Marianne Kris Award and Lecture

SHERATON FOUR POINTS HOTEL
Miami Beach, Florida

Make Reservations by calling:
☎ 305-531-7494/Fax 305-532-2490

Registration Forms for the Annual Meeting will be mailed in January 2000.
Hotel reservations may be made at any time.

Hotel and Airline Reservations may be made directly or through Honey Travel, the designated agency for ACP.
☎ 800-634-2133 or 914-921-0455
Fax 914-921-0473

FOR PROMPT PROCESSING OF YOUR SPONSORSHIPS OF NEW CANDIDATE MEMBERS AND FULL MEMBERS, PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT YOUR FORMS REACH MRS. HALL AT THE ACP OFFICE OR THE CHAIR OF THE MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE, KERRY KELLY NOVICK, BEFORE DECEMBER 15th.

ACP INTERNET

The ACP website http://westnet.com/acp/ is kindly set up and maintained by Perry R. Branson, M.D. Among its limited items is one titled “Referral Information.” Experience has shown that we need more specific guidelines to assure appropriate requests and to avoid potential liability related to our responses. Our legal counsel advised to use the following wording which was approved by the Executive Committee and is now implemented:

If you would like a consultation for, or about your child with a member of the ACP, you can locate a member by using the roster listings. However, contacting a child analyst in your area who is available to assist you and your child may take several days. Therefore, if a parent's concern about his/her child is urgent or in the nature of an emergency, the parent should contact their primary medical care professional and/or take the child to the local emergency care center for treatment. In non-emergency situations, you may contact the ACP by e-mail to receive a list of ACP members in your area by contacting ACP’s administrator, Ms. Nancy Hall, at “childanalysis@compuserve.com”. Please provide Ms. Hall with your name, address, phone number, the age of the child, and a brief description of the situation. You will thereafter be informed by a return e-mail within a few days as to whether Ms. Hall has been able to locate an ACP member who is available to consult with you and how to contact him or her. It is possible that no local analyst is immediately available to assist you, in which case, you will be so informed. By providing roster listings and/or the names of available analysts, ACP makes no representation and accepts no responsibility concerning the nature or quality of any care, consultation or treatment which may be provided, nor does ACP provide any care, consultation or treatment.

Counsel suggested excluding any communication by phone and not making available a fax number so that all transactions are documented in writing/printing.

Also, when requests come in by friends or relatives on behalf of a child in need, Ms. Hall informs them that the ACP may respond only to requests by the child's legal custodian.
The Anna Freud Centre Training in the Psychoanalytic Study and Treatment of Children and Adolescents

Director: Julia Fabricius
Head of Clinical Training: Viviane Green

The Centre offers a 4-year Training Course in child analysis and child psychotherapy to graduates with an honours degree in Psychology or equivalent subjects and some professional experience with children. Personal analysis with an analyst approved by the Training Committee is required. The Course has been substantially reorganized to enable trainees to work part-time to support themselves during the training. (Interest-free loans are sometimes available.) The first (pre-clinical) year of the training can be taken as an MSc in Psychoanalytic Developmental Psychology.

The Course comprises

- A theoretical framework of psychoanalytic and developmental concepts, gained via participation in seminars, workshops, research groups, diagnostic groups and other meetings of the Centre.
- Observation of babies, toddlers, nursery school children, atypical children, disturbed adolescents and adults.
- Supervised clinical work in the psychoanalytic treatment of children of selected age ranges — under-fives, latency and adolescents; also, supervised psychotherapy with children, and supervised work with parents.

The Course is designed for trainees to become qualified in the field of child psychoanalysis and psychotherapy and experienced in diagnostic, consultative and applied work with children and adolescents. It leads to the qualification of Child Psychotherapist and is recognized by the Association of Child Psychotherapists for work in the National Health Service in Britain, and by the Association for Child Psychoanalysis, Inc. Trainees can also register for the Doctorate in Psychotherapy in Child and Adolescent Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy run in conjunction with University College London.

Enquiries and applications should be made to: The Head of Clinical Training, Anna Freud Centre, 21 Maresfield Gardens, London NW3 5FH

University College London
M.Sc. in Theoretical Psychoanalytic Studies (non-clinical)

This one-year full-time (two years part-time) course includes 12 units covering historical and current theoretical developments worldwide, which is taught mainly by members of the British Psycho-Analytical Society. Assessment is through written examination in June and dissertation and viva voce examination in September. The course is offered by the Department of Psychology, in the Psychoanalysis Unit which was directed jointly by Professor Joseph Sandler and Professor Peter Fonagy until Professor Sandler’s death and continues now under the direction of Peter Fonagy. University College is the oldest and largest part of London University, and academically ranks a close third to Oxford and Cambridge among British universities.

A grounding in psychoanalytic theory would enable those who already have professional qualifications to add a thorough knowledge of psychoanalytic ideas, students interested in clinical trainings to complement the prevailing trend towards briefer and highly symptom-focused treatment approaches, and those from other disciplines to add this perspective to their understanding of philosophy, literature, art, history, anthropology, and many other fields. The course has been running for two years, and has established a very international, interdisciplinary feel. The only academic requirement is an honours degree in any subject from a university recognized by UCL. Students are not required to be in any therapy or to have clinical work experience, though many do.

Fees for overseas students are approximately £17,000 for one year, or £8,500 per year part-time. Application forms and further details may be obtained from: Dr. Mary Target, MSc Course Organizer, Subdepartment of Clinical Health Psychology, UCL, Gower St., London WC1E 6BT, UK. 011-44-171 380 7899 – Fax 011-44-171 916 8502 - E-mail mary.target@ucl.ac.uk.

Hanna Perkins Center for Child Development
Course in Child Psychoanalysis

The Course in Child Psychoanalysis is for non-medical professionals with postgraduate degrees who are experienced in the care of children and who wish to be trained in the psychoanalytic treatment of children. Those with medical degrees are also welcome to apply. This program has been in operation since 1958.

The curriculum begins with courses in theory and technique and observation of infants and observation of young children at the Hanna Perkins Therapeutic Nursery School and Kindergarten. It then proceeds to the supervised clinical treatment of three children by the psychoanalytic method and one case of a preschooler treated via the parent at Hanna Perkins.

The course is designed so that full time employment may be maintained while taking up to two children in analysis. Candidates are required to have a personal analysis and to plan to stay long enough to complete their clinical work. Applications are accepted at any time for groups which begin in September each year. Partial scholarships may be available.

For a brochure on the Program and further information, write to: Thomas F. Barrett, Ph.D., Director, or Elizabeth Fleming, Assistant Director, Hanna Perkins Center for Child Development, 2084 Cornell Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44106. 216-421-7880
IN REMEMBRANCE
## Calendar of Events 1999 – 2002

### December 13-16, 1999
The International Psychoanalytic Association
Freud at the Threshold of the 21st Century
Jerusalem Renaissance Hotel, Israel
Jerusalem, Israel
For further information contact:
Aryeh Lewis, Conference Secretariat
P.O. Box 574, Jerusalem, Israel 91004
☎ +972-2-6520574
Fax +972-2-6520558
E-mail isas@netvision.net.il

### May 6, 2000
31st Annual Margaret S. Mahler Symposium
Does God Help? Faith and Belief in Development, Psychopathology, and Technique
(Co-sponsored by the Philadelphia Psychoanalytic Institute & Society and the Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior Jefferson Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University)
Double Tree Hotel Philadelphia, PA
For further information contact:
Ms. Maryann Nevin
☎ 215-955-8420

### December 10-19, 1999
American Psychoanalytic Association Fall Meeting
Waldorf Hotel
New York City

### January 21-23, 2000
The 7th National Conference of the National Membership Committee on Psychoanalysis in Clinical Social Work
(Sponsored by NIPER)
New York Marriott, World Trade Center
New York, NY

### April 21-30, 2000
American Psychoanalytic Association 89th Annual Meeting
Chicago, IL

### July 26 – 30, 2000
7th Congress World Association for Infant Mental Health
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
“Diversity: Challenges and Opportunities in Infancy”
For information contact:
WAIMH, Kellogg Center, #27 MSU, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
Fax 517-432-3694
E-mail waimh@pilot.msu.edu

### October 29 – November 2, 2002
International Association for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Allied Professions [IACAPAP] Congress
New Delhi, India

### Non-Member Attendance at ACP Meetings
Non-members wishing to attend the Annual ACP Scientific Meeting may do so under the sponsorship of a member. The application form will include a line for identification of the sponsoring member. If the standard $200 attendance fee poses hardship for a non-member, requests for a reduction of fee to the level of a candidate’s fee of $100 may be addressed to the Treasurer of the ACP.

### Non-Member Subscriptions to the Newsletter
Non-members attending an ACP Scientific Meeting will receive one complimentary copy of the ACP Newsletter. Those non-members wishing to receive future copies of the newsletter may do so at a subscription rate of $10/year ($5/copy). The charge will cover the cost of production and mailing, a cost covered for members through their annual membership dues. See below for subscription order form.

---

Because of rising costs, the Association for Child Psychoanalysis can no longer provide this Newsletter free of charge to those who are not members of the Association. If you are not a member of the ACP and would like to continue receiving the Newsletter, please remit the annual subscription cost of $10 to:
Association for Child Psychoanalysis, Inc., P.O. Box 253, Ramsey, NJ 07446

☐ Please enter my one year subscription for the ACP Newsletter:

Enclosed is $__________ Check/Money Order payable to the “Association for Child Psychoanalysis”

NAME: ____________________________________ PHONE: ( ) ____________
ADDRESS: ____________________________________________
CITY: __________________________________ STATE: __________ ZIP: _____________

---
Roster Update Form for ACP Members

Please check your listing as it appears in your most recent ACP Roster. If any changes or additions are necessary, please complete this form (or a copy) and send it to our administrator, Mrs. Nancy Hall, P.O. Box 253, Ramsey, New Jersey 07446 USA — FAX: (201) 825-3138 — E-mail: childanalysis@compuserve.com

Name: ____________________________________________________ Degree(s): ______________
Home Address: _____________________________________________________________
City: ___________________________ State/Prov.: ______ Postal Code: ____________
Country: _______________________
Office Address: ____________________________________________________________
City: ___________________________ State/Prov.: ______ Postal Code: ____________
Country: _______________________
Preferred mailing address for ACP correspondence (circle one): Home Office
Telephone
Home: Country code: ______ Area code: ______ Number: ______________________
Office: Country code: ______ Area code: ______ Number: ______________________
FAX
Home: Country code: ______ Area code: ______ Number: ______________________
Office: Country code: ______ Area code: ______ Number: ______________________
E-mail __________________________________________________________________________